

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,093	02/20/2004	Wounjhang Park	226251	6898	
23460 759	90 07/12/2005		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			LE, H	LE, HOA T	
	TIAL PLAZA, SUITE 490 ETSON AVENUE	0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL			1773		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/12/200	DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(4)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/784,093	PARK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	H. T. Le	1773				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of this Adv.		a final raigation, which are	rio lator In no			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the			er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	RST REPLY WAS FILED  and the appropriate extension  final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have in fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	•	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,36 and 37</u> .	·		·			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See "Detailed Advisory Action".	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,093 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

## **DETAILED ADVISORY ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

2. The claims are now amended to include the limitation "uniform" coating, and Applicant argued that the prior art references do not teach a uniform coating. It's disclosed in the specification that the coating becomes uniform by heat treatment at from 225°C to about 500°C and up to "the termination of the crystallization range" (see page 8, line 30 to page 9, line 3 and page 9, lines 21-23).

The Nishio patent (US 5,856,009) teaches a heat treatment (i.e. annealing) of the coating from 400°C to 500°C (see Nishio, col. 8, lines 30-33), which is within the heating temperature that the coating becomes uniform as disclosed in the instant specification.

Therefore, it's necessarily inherent that the coating on the phosphor taught by the Nishio patent is uniform.

The Ranby patent (US 4,396,863) teaches a heat treatment of the coating from 200 °C to 800°C (see Ranby, col. 2, lines 13-16), which is within the heating temperature that the coating becomes uniform as disclosed in the instant specification. Therefore, it's necessarily inherent that the coating on the phosphor taught by the Ranby patent is uniform.

The Okada patent (US 5,523,018) teaches a heat treatment (i.e. baking) of the coating from 450°C to 600°C (see Okada, col. 7, lines 30-33), which is within the heating temperature that the coating becomes uniform as disclosed in the instant specification.

Art Unit: 1773

Therefore, it's necessarily inherent that the coating on the phosphor taught by the Okada patent is uniform

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H. T. Le

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

July 7, 2005